
Lecture Notes 2, Math/Comp 128, Math 250

Misha Kilmer
Tufts University

September 12, 2007

Singular Value Decomposition

For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, its (full) **SVD** is given by the **factorization**

$$A = U\Sigma V^*$$

where

$$U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

and U, V are unitary, Σ is diagonal with entries

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$$

and

$$p = \min(m, n).$$

SVD example

Draw pictures for $m < n$, $m = n$, $m < n$.

SVD example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

SVD, cont

Since $A = U\Sigma V^*$, we have $AV = U\Sigma$. So,

$$A \left[v_1 | v_2 | \cdots | v_n \right] = \left[u_1 | u_2 | \cdots | u_m \right] \Sigma.$$

Equating columns,

$$Av_i = \sigma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad p = \min m, n.$$

Why only to p ?

SVD, cont

When A is tall and skinny $m \times n$, it is possible to write the **reduced SVD**:

$$A = \hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}V^*$$

where now \hat{U} has only columns 1 to n of U , and $\hat{\Sigma}$ is $n \times n$.

SVD, cont

Since $A = U\Sigma V^*$, the u_i 's are called the **left** singular vectors and the v_i 's are called the **right** singular vectors.

Geometry

Assume A is real. FACT: U, Σ, V are real.

Now V is a unitary matrix, so $\|v_i\|_2 = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ and also

$$v_i^* v_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

So the v_i 's “live” on the unit sphere (on the hypersphere) in \mathbb{R}^n .

What does the action Av_i do, geometrically, to v_i ?

Geometry, cont

Recall $Av_j = \sigma_j u_j$, and $\sigma_j \geq 0$, and u_j also form an orthonormal set (so they live on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^m .)

Where is $\sigma_j u_j$? What is the significance of the σ_j ?

Existence/Uniqueness; Thm 4.1

Every matrix has an SVD. Furthermore, the σ_j 's are uniquely determined, and, if A is square and the σ_j are distinct, then the left and right singular vectors u_j and v_j are uniquely determined up to complex signs (i.e. complex scalar factors of absolute value 1.)

skip proof

Examples

Determine the SVDs of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the 2nd example, what is the rank of A ? Now what is the number of non-zero singular values of A ? (The fact these match is **not a coincidence!**.)

SVD: Computation and Implications

Let us see how the SVD can be used to solve

$$Ax = b$$

where A is **square** and **invertible**.

Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$. We get $U\Sigma V^*x = b$. But, $U^*U = I$, so....

$$\underbrace{U^*U}_I \Sigma V^* x = U^* b.$$

So, we now have to solve a **diagonal** system

$$\Sigma \underbrace{(V^* x)}_{x'} = b'.$$

THIS IS EASY TO SOLVE!!!! What is x'_i ?

Note the **change of coordinates**.

$$x' = V^*x \iff Vx' = x$$

This means the components of x' are the expansion coefficients of x in the columns of V .

$$b' = U^*b \iff Ub' = b$$

This means.....?

SVD as a system solver

- How many flops does it take to find x using Gaussian elimination (or LU factorization or Gauss-Jordan)? etc. for a general $n \times n$ matrix A ?
- How many flops to solve the diagonal system for x' ?
- How many flops to then compute x via backtransformation?

-
- So how many flops do you **think** it takes to compute x using the SVD??? **WARNING: you get nothing for free.**

SVD: Matrix Properties

Let A be $m \times n$.

Theorem 5.1 The rank of A is r , the number of **non-zero** singular values.

Theorem 5.2 $\mathcal{R}(A) = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, $\mathcal{N}(A) = \text{span}\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$

For all but the math grad students, let's ignore the proofs.

SVD: Matrix Properties

Theorem 5.3 $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$ and $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \cdots + \sigma_r^2}$.

The proofs follow easily from the **unitary invariance** of these two matrix norms.

Let's do the proof on the board.....

SVD: Matrix Properties and Computation

Theorem 5.4: The nonzero singular values of A are the square roots of the nonzero **eigenvalues** of A^*A OR AA^* .

Let's do the proof. It will jog your memory about some stuff you learned in linear algebra about **similar** matrices.

One way to compute the SVD...

Now that you know that the relation between the singular values of A & eigenvalues of A^*A (AA^*), let's look at the eigenvectors.

$$A^*A = V\Sigma^T\Sigma V^*, \quad AA^* = U\Sigma\Sigma^T U^*$$

Thus, if you have an algorithm to compute the eigenvalues/vectors of a matrix, you can use it to compute the SVD, in theory. This is NOT usually the best approach. (see Lecture 31)

SVD on Hermitian Matrices

Theorem 5.5: If $A = A^*$, then the *singular values* of A are the *absolute values of the eigenvalues* of A .

The proof relies on the fact that a Hermitian matrix has a complete set of orthonormal eigenvectors: i.e. that $A = Q\Lambda Q^*$.

Low-Rank Approximations

Let A be $m \times n$, and have rank $r \leq \min(m, n)$. That means $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r > 0$, but all others are 0.

Consider $m > n$.

$$A = U\Sigma V^* = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_r & \dots & u_m \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \dots & 0 & \sigma_r & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_1^*}{} \\ \frac{v_2^*}{} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{v_r^*}{} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{v_n^*}{} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can compress the SVD **even further than** the “reduced” form of the SVD!

Low-Rank Approximations

$$A = \tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*$$

Theorem 5.7: A is the sum of **rank-one** matrices:

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j u_j v_j^*.$$

Proof:

1. Multiplication $\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^*$ scales row j (v_j^*) of V^* by σ_j .
2. Remember the product of two matrices can be written as a sum of outer products (see homework).
3. Remember that an outer product of 2 vectors has rank-1.

Low-Rank Approximations

Property: *the k th partial sum captures as much of the energy, in 2 norm, of A as possible...*

Theorem 5.8: For any $0 \leq k \leq r$, let $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^*$.

Then

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 = \min_{B \text{ has rank } \leq k} \|A - B\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}.$$

Approximation and Geometry

- What's the “best” approx. of a hyperellipsoid by line segment? The line is the longest axis.
- ” ” by a 2D ellipsoid? Take the longest & second longest axes.
- ” ” by a hyperellipsoid in k dimensions? A_k .

F-norm Approximation

Theorem 5.9: The matrix A_k also satisfies

$$\|A - A_k\|_F = \min_{B \text{ has rank } \leq k} \|A - B\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_{k+1}^2 + \cdots + \sigma_r^2}$$

SVD and Image Compression

- A gray-scale image is an array (matrix) of numbers (pixels) we call A .
- The “compressed” image is called A_k .
- Storage of A (trivially) requires mn terms (let’s assume double precision)
- Storage of A_k requires how many double precision terms?

DEMO

Aside

Interesting (sort-of open) problem:

If A has only signed (or unsigned) integers, can we get a matrix factorization (in reasonable time) to do the compression that also only uses signed (or unsigned) integers?

SVD Computation

- This application shows that there are times when one only wants to compute the k most dominant singular vectors. More examples to come (LSI and PCA).
 - In particular, note that to compute $\|A\|_2$ requires computation of σ_1 .
 - So-called “iterative” algorithms seek to do this with a minimum of memory required. (Lecture 31)
-

-
- The algorithm to compute the SVD of an $n \times n$ matrix is $O(n^3)$, so it's not any cheaper to use this to solve linear systems!!!
 - More **stable**, however.

Rank vs. Numerical Rank

Beware the curse of finite precision arithmetic. A rank-deficient matrix may appear to be full rank when rank is numerically calculated!

More important is numerical rank. In the image example, I would say that the numerical rank is much lower than the dimension.
